

**REMARKS**

Responsive to the Final Office Action mailed July 6, 2006, Applicant thanks the Examiner for his guidance and patience. Further, Applicant thanks the Examiner for his comments in the interview of December 27, 2006. All of Examiner's comments and suggestions are very much appreciated. In the present response, the Applicant has amended Claims 11, 14, and 17 and canceled claims 1-7, 12, and 19. Therefore, claims 11, and 13-18 are still pending.

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC §112**

The Examiner has rejected Claims 11-18 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Accordingly, Applicant has amended these claims to comport with 35 U.S.C. 112.

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103**

The Examiner has rejected Claims 11-18 as being obvious by Piretti (U.S. Patent No. 3,856,147) in view of Kent et al. (U.S. Patent No. 3,288,301) and Mayr (U.S. Patent 4,253,268). However, Piretti in view of Kent and Mayr does not teach all of the elements of the amended independent claims.

In the present case, each of the independent Claim 11 has incorporated the limitation of "through which the first structural element, the second structural element, and the third structural element form a 3-sided arrangement." The Piretti, Kent and Mayr reference do not teach a snap together system forming a 3-sided arrangement.

Therefore, because the Piretti, Kent and Mayr references when combined do not teach all the elements of newly amended Claim 11, a *prima facie* case of obviousness cannot be established. As the independent claims have been amended, it is believed that Claims 13-18 are patentable over these references as well.

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's rejections have been successfully traversed and that the application is now in order for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration of the application and allowance thereof is courteously solicited.

**Conclusion**

Applicant has complied with all requirements made in the above-referenced communication. In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the pending Claims in the application are in condition for allowance. Allowance of the pending claims at an early date is courteously solicited.

If, for any reason, the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is respectfully requested to call Applicant's undersigned representatives, attention R. Joseph Foster at **(310) 586-6565** to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance.


This response is being timely filed and no fee is believed due. However, if Applicant is mistaken, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any required fee in connection with the submission of this paper, now or in the future, or credit any overpayment to Account No. **50-2638**. Please ensure that the Attorney Docket Number **70288-010200** is referred to when charging any payments or credits for this case.

Respectfully submitted,

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

Date: December 28, 2006

By

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
R. Joseph Foster  
Reg. No. 56,953

Customer Number 33717  
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP  
2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E  
Santa Monica, CA 90404  
Phone: (310) 586-6511  
Fax: (310) 586-5511  
E-mail: laipmail@gtlaw.com  
LA126694014